arXiv:math/0309018v1 [math.CT] 1 Sep 2003

A GENERALIZATION AND A NEW PROOF OF PLOTKIN'S REDUCTION THEOREM

G. ZHITOMIRSKI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, 52900 RAMAT CAN, ISRAEL

Abstract. It is known that Plotkin's reduction theorem is very important for his theory of universal algebraic geometry [1, 2]. It turns out that this theorem can be generalized to arbitrary categories containing two special objects and in this case its proof becomes considerable more simple. This new proof and applications are the subject of the present paper.

INTRODUCTION

An automorphism φ of a category $\mathcal{C}$ is called inner if it is isomorphic to the identity functor $Id_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the category of all endofunctors of $\mathcal{C}$. If an automorphism φ is inner the object $\varphi(A)$ is isomorphic to A for every $\mathcal{C}$-object A. It is known [2] that every automorphism satisfying the last condition is a composition of two automorphisms the first of which preserves the objects and second one is an inner automorphism. Thus it is sufficient to consider only such automorphisms φ that preserve the objects, i.e. $\varphi(A) = A$ for all objects A of $\mathcal{C}$. Further every automorphism satisfying the last condition induces a permutation on the set $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ for every pair of objects A, B, particularly it induces an automorphism of the monoid $End(A) = \mathrm{Hom}(A, A)$ for every object A. Below I cite the Plotkin's Reduction Theorem. The purpose of this theorem is to reduce the verification of a given automorphism of $\mathcal{C}$ to be inner to the same problem for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ determined by two special objects.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety of universal algebras. Consider the category Θ whose objects are all algebras from V and whose morphisms are all homomorphisms

---

This research is partially supported by THE ISRAEL SCIENCE FOUNDATION founded by The Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities - Center of Excellence Program.

of them. Fix an infinite set X. Let Θ^0 be the full subcategory of Θ defined by all free algebras from $\mathcal{V}$ over finite subsets of the set X.

The following conditions are assumed:

1P) every object of Θ^0 is a hopfian algebra;

2P) there exists an object $F^0 = F(X^0)$ in Θ^0 generating the whole variety $\mathcal{V}$.

Fix the object $F_0 = F(X_0)$ in Θ^0 generated by a singular set $X_0 = \{x_0\}$ and the homomorphism $\nu_0 : F^0 \to F_0$ induced by the constant map $X^0 \to X_0$ that is $(\forall x \in X^0)\ \nu_0(x) = x_0$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\varphi : \Theta^0 \to \Theta^0$ be an automorphism which does not change objects. If φ induces the identity automorphism on the semigroup $END(F^0)$ and $\varphi(\nu_0) = \nu_0$, then φ is an inner automorphism.*

It should be mention that this theorem was first proved by Berzins [3] for the variety of commutative associative algebras over an infinite field.

The purpose of the present paper is to show that some hypotheses used in this theorem are unnecessary, the statement can be generalized and the proof becomes essentially more easy. The author thanks Prof. B. Plotkin for useful discussions.

1. A GENERALIZED REDUCTION THEOREM

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with the following conditions:

1) there is a faithful functor $\mathbf{Q} : \mathcal{C} \to Set$ such that it is represented by an object A_0 ;
2) there is an object A^0 such that for every two objects A and B and for every bijection $s : \mathbf{Q}(A) \to \mathbf{Q}(B)$ one of the following two dual conditions is satisfied:

   if for every morphism $\nu : B \to A^0$ $(\nu : A^0 \to A)$ there exists a morphism $\mu : A \to A^0$ $(\mu : A^0 \to A)$ such that

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mu) = \mathbf{Q}(\nu) \circ s$$

$$(resp.\ \mathbf{Q}(\mu) = s \circ \mathbf{Q}(\nu))$$

then there exists an isomorphism $\gamma : A \to B$ such that $\mathbf{Q}(\gamma) = s$.

The sense of the last condition using algebraic language is: if the composition of a given bijection of A and every homomorphism from A to A^0 is a homomorphism then this bijection is an isomorphism of A.

Theorem 2. *If $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is an automorphism of the category $\mathcal{C}$ that does not change the objects A_0 and A^0 and induces the identity map on $Hom(A_0, A^0)$ then φ is an inner automorphism.*

Proof. Let objects A^0 and A_0 existing under hypotheses be fixed. And let $u : \mathbf{Q} \to \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, ?)$ be an isomorphisms of functors, i.e. a representation of the functor $\mathbf{Q}$. Let φ be an isomorphism of the category $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying required conditions. Thus we have for every object A the bijection $\varphi_A : \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, A) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \varphi(A))$ and therefore a bijection s_A of the set $\mathbf{Q}(A)$ onto the set $\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(A))$ unique defined by means of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Q}(A) \arrow[r, "u_A"] \arrow[d, "s_A"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, A) \arrow[d, "\varphi_A"'] \\
\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(A)) \arrow[r, "u_{\varphi(A)}"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \varphi(A))
\end{tikzcd}$$

It means that $s_A = u_{\varphi(A)}^{-1} \circ \varphi_A \circ u_A$. Under hypothesis φ_{A^0} is the identity map, hence we have $s_{A^0} = 1_{\mathbf{Q}(A^0)}$. Further, for every morphism $\nu : A \to B$ we have two commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Q}(A) \arrow[r, "u_A"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{Q}(\nu)"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, A) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Hom}(A_0,\nu)"'] \\
\mathbf{Q}(B) \arrow[r, "u_B"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(A)) \arrow[r, "u_{\varphi(A)}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(\nu))"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \varphi(A)) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Hom}(A_0,\varphi(\nu))"'] \\
\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(B)) \arrow[r, "u_{\varphi(B)}"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \varphi(B))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mu : A_0 \to A$. Since φ is an automorphism we have:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \varphi(\nu))(\mu) = \varphi(\nu)) \circ \mu = \varphi(\nu \circ \varphi^{-1}(\mu)) = \varphi(\mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \nu)(\varphi^{-1}(\mu))).$$

Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \varphi(\nu)) = \varphi \circ \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \nu) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and from those two commutative diagrams follows the fact:

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(\nu)) = u^{-1}_{\varphi(B)} \circ \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \varphi(\nu)) \circ u_{\varphi(A)} = \\ = u^{-1}_{\varphi(B)} \circ \varphi \circ \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \nu) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ u_{\varphi(A)} = \\ = u^{-1}_{\varphi(B)} \circ \varphi \circ u_B \circ u_B^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Hom}(A_0, \nu) \circ u_A \circ u_A^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ u_{\varphi(A)} = \\ = s_B \circ \mathbf{Q}(\nu) \circ s_A^{-1}.\end{gathered}$$

Thus for every morphism $\nu : A \to B$ we obtain the result:

$$\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(\nu)) = s_B \circ \mathbf{Q}(\nu) \circ s_A^{-1}.$$

Particularly, for every morphism $\nu : A \to A^0$ we have $\mathbf{Q}(\varphi(\nu)) = s_{A^0} \circ \mathbf{Q}(\nu) \circ s_A^{-1} = \mathbf{Q}(\nu) \circ s_A^{-1}$. Under hypotheses for the category $\mathcal{C}$, there exists an isomorphism $\sigma_A : A \to \varphi(A)$ such that $s_A = \mathbf{Q}(\sigma_A)$. The dual case gives the same conclusion. And finally we obtain that for every $\nu : A \to B$:

$$\varphi(\nu) = \sigma_B \circ \nu \circ \sigma_A^{-1}.$$

that ends the proof. □

Theorem 3. *Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.*

Proof. Consider the category $\mathcal{C} = \Theta^0$ described in Introduction, that is $\mathcal{V}$ is a variety of universal algebras, Θ is the corresponding category and Θ^0 is the full subcategory of Θ defined by all free algebras from $\mathcal{V}$ over finite subsets of an infinite fixed set X. Let $\mathbf{Q} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathit{Set}$ be the forgetful functor. It is faithful. Let F_0 be a free algebra in Θ^0 over an one-element set. Then the functor Q is represented by the object F_0 and the condition (1) for the category $\mathcal{C}$ is satisfied. We write simply A instead of $\mathbf{Q}(A)$.

Let Θ^0 contain a finitely generated free algebra F^0 generating the variety $\mathcal{V}$ (condition (2P)). We prove that the condition (2) for the category $\mathcal{C}$ is also satisfied. Consider two objects A and F of Θ^0. Let $F = F(x_1, ..., x_n)$ and

$s : A \to F$ be a bijection with the following condition: for every homomorphism $\nu : F \to F^0$ the composition $\mu = \nu \circ s$ is also homomorphism. Let o be a k-ary operation. For every k elements $a_1, ..., a_k \in A$, consider two words in F: $u = s(o(a_1, ..., a_k))$ and $v = o(s(a_1), ..., s(a_k))$. We have $\nu(u) = \mu(o(a_1, ..., a_k)) = (o(\mu(a_1), ..., \mu(a_k)) = \nu(o(s(a_1), ..., s(a_k)) = \nu(v)$. Since all identities which are satisfied in F^0 are satisfied in F too, $u = v$. It means that s is also a homomorphism. Thus the condition (2) for $\mathcal{C}$ is satisfied.

Now let an automorphism $\varphi : \Theta^0 \to \Theta^0$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1. Let $\mu : F_0 \to F^0$ be an arbitrary morphism and let $\nu = \mu \circ \nu_0 : F^0 \to F^0$. Under hypotheses of Theorem 1 we have:

$$\nu = \varphi(\nu) = \varphi(\mu) \circ \varphi(\nu_0) = \varphi(\mu) \circ \nu_0.$$

Hence $\mu \circ \nu_0 = \varphi(\mu) \circ \nu_0$ and therefore $\varphi(\mu) = \mu$. Thus the automorphism $\varphi : \Theta^0 \to \Theta^0$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2. Since the statements of Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 coincide we deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2.

□

Remark 1. Let A and B be two different objects of a category $\mathcal{C}$ and let φ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the condition that there are two isomorphisms $\alpha : A \to \varphi(A)$ and $\beta : B \to \varphi(B)$ such that for every $\nu : A \to B$ we have $\varphi(\nu) = \beta \circ \nu \circ \alpha^{-1}$. Then φ is a composition of two automorphisms $\varphi = \psi \circ \gamma$ where γ is an inner automorphism and ψ preserves objects A, B and acts as identity on $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$. Thus for the categories satisfying conditions (1) and (2) we obtain from Theorem 2 a necessary and sufficient condition for an automorphism to be inner.

Remark 2. The condition (P1) has not been used in the proof given above. Thus it is not necessary for the Theorem 1. However this condition is used in [2] to prove the fact that every automorphism of the category Θ^0 takes every object to an isomorphic one. But we have seen that it also is not necessary.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category of universal algebras and their homomorphisms. To apply Theorem 2 it is necessary to find two objects A_0 and A^0 satisfying conditions (1) and (2). A functor $\mathbf{Q}$ in such a case is usually the forgetful functor. If a monogenic free algebra is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ the condition (1) is realized. The condition 2 is realized if our category contains an object A^0 satisfying at least one of the following two conditions:

a) for every $\mathcal{C}$-object A and every two its different elements a_1 and a_2 there exists a homomorphism $\nu : A \to A^0$ with $\nu(a_1) \neq \nu(a_2)$

or

b) for every $\mathcal{C}$-object A and every finite subset X of A having as many elements as arity of an operation, there exists a homomorphism $\nu : A^0 \to A$ with $X \subset \nu(A^0)$.

For categories of the kind Θ^0, the condition (1) is always satisfied and the condition (2) is satisfied if there exists such Θ^0-algebra H that either H generates the given variety or the set of free generates of H has not less elements than arities of all operations.

References

[1] B.Plotkin, Algebras with the same (algebraic) geometry. Preprint, arXiv:math. GM/0210194.

[2] G.Mashevitzky, B.Plotkin, E.Plotkin, Automorphisms of categories of free Lie algebras. Preprint, arXiv:math. GM/0210187.

[3] A. Berzins, Geometrical equivalence of algebras, International Journal of Algebra and Computations, **11:4** (2001), 447 – 456.